atrict Court FEB 1 4 200 For The Northern Mariana Islands Viola Alepuyo Attorney-at-Law (Deputy Clerk) 2nd Floor, Lim's Bldg, Oleai P.O. Box 5506 CHRB Saipan, MP 96950 (670) 233-8535/8536/8537 (670) 233-8538 fax 5 Attorneys for Aniceto T. Ogumoro 6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE 7 NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS 0010 CIVIL ACTION NO. <u>08-</u> ANICETO T. OGUMORO, 9 **Plaintiff** 10 11 JUAN I.WABOL, in his personal capacity;) EDWARD MANALILI, in his personal) 12 capacity; JAMES GUERRERO, in his) personal capacity; CHRISTOPHER LEON) 13 GUERRERO, in his personal capacity; JESSE) SEMAN, in his personal capacity;) **COMPLAINT &** DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY, and) **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** Does 1-20, 15 Defendants. 16 17 Plaintiff, Aniceto T. Ogumoro (hereinafter "Mr. Ogumoro") hereby sets forth his Complaint 18 against Defendants as follows: 19 I. 20 Jurisdiction and Venue 21 The Court has jurisdiction of this matter pursuant to the Covenant to Establish a 22 Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands In Political Union With the United States of 23 America (Covenant) (authorizing Congress to establish this Court), 48 U.S.C. § 1821-22 24 (establishing this Court and granting it the jurisdiction of a district court of the United States), 1 of 20

28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question jurisdiction), and 28 U.S.C. § 2201 (declaratory relief) and the Constitution and laws of the United States. The Court has supplemental jurisdiction over the various state claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).

II.

Parties

- Plaintiff, Aniceto T. Ogumoro ("Mr. Ogumoro"), is, and at all relevant times to this action, a resident of Saipan, CNMI and the Director of Police for the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands ("Commonwealth") Department of Public Safety ("DPS").
- 3. Defendant Juan I. Wabol ("Defendant Wabol"), on information and belief, was at all times relevant to this action, a resident of Saipan, CNMI, and Acting Commissioner of DPS acting within the scope and course of his employment and under the color of state law.
- 4. Defendant Edward Manalili ("Defendant Manalili"), on information and belief, was at all times relevant to this action, a resident of Saipan, CNMI, and the Commander of the DPS Criminal Investigation Bureau ("CIB") acting within the scope and course of his employment and under the color of state law.
- 5. Defendant James Guerrero ("Defendant Guerrero"), on information and belief, was at all times relevant to this action, a resident of Saipan, CNMI, and the Supervisor of DPS CIB acting within the scope and course of his employment and under the color of state law.
- 6. Defendant Christopher Leon Guerrero ("Defendant "Leon Guerrero"), on information and belief, was at all times relevant to this action, a resident of Saipan, CNMI, and was an Investigator with the DPS CIB acting within the scope and course of his employment and under the color of state law.
- 7. Defendant Jesse Seman ("Defendant Seman"), on information and belief, was at all times relevant to this action, a resident of Saipan, CNMI, and a Police Officer of DPS acting within

1		the scope and course of his employment and under the color of state law.
2	8.	The Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Island Government ("CNMI") is named and
3		sued through its instrumentality Defendant Department of Public Safety ("DPS") which is the
4		governmental entity operating as a police department for the citizens of the CNMI, with its
5		principal place of business on Saipan during all relevant times of this action.
6	9.	Defendant Does and others not presently known to Mr. Ogumoro, upon information and
7		belief, was at all times relevant to this action, residents of the CNMI and employees of the
8		Commonwealth and DPS acting within the scope and course of their employment and under
9		the color of state law.
10		III.
11		Facts
12	10.	On February 9, 2006, Defendant Leon Guerrero drafted an Affidavit of Probable Cause in
13		Support of a Search and Arrest Warrant ("Affidavit") for Mr. Ogumoro's brother, Ambrosio
14		T. Ogumoro ("Ambrosio").
15	11.	In support of the Affidavit, Defendant Leon Guerrero, alleged the following concerning Mr.
16		Ogumoro:
17		a. a family member informed Defendant Leon Guerrero that in early 2000, Ambrosio
18		had various weapons displayed on a table and Mr. Ogumoro was present.
19		b. on January 2, 1998 CNMI Customs Officers seized a total of two thousand seven
20		hundred and sixty three (2,763) high powered rifle ammunition. That as indicated in
21		the "Customs Logbook" reference Customs Case Number CS 98-02, Mr. Ogumoro
22		was the intended recipient of the above ammunition coming from the United States
23		mainland.
24		after an assessment of the Ogumoro family compound a container was seen behind the

residence of Mr. Ogumoro.

19.

24

On or about March 15, 2006, David Anthony M. Hosono ("Hosono"), DPS Officer II,

previously assigned to the CNMI Armory, executed an Affidavit stating:

1		Defendant Wabol was still not in court when his name was called as a witness.
2	27.	On April 17, 2006, the CNMI Superior Court issued its written order suppressing all evidence
3		obtained during the search of Mr. Ogumoro's home for lack of probable cause.
4	28.	On May 17, 2006, the Commonwealth filed a Notice of Appeal of the Superior Court's
5		decision.
6	29.	On December 1, 2006, the Commonwealth filed a Motion to Dismiss with Prejudice the
7		appeal in the CNMI Supreme Court and in the Superior Court the criminal case against Mr.
8		Ogumoro because they were not convinced sufficient evidence existed to prove the charges set
9	i	forth in Criminal Case No. 06-0038B.
10	30.	On December 5, 2006, the Superior Court dismissed with prejudice CNMI v. Aniceto
11		Ogumoro, Criminal Case No. 06-0038B.
12	31.	On December 18, 2006, the Supreme Court issued their order of dismissal of the
13		Commonwealth's appeal.
14		IV.
15		Causes of Action
16	:	COUNT 1
17		Violations of 42 U.S.C. §1983 (Arrest)
18	32.	Plaintiff repeats, realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through
19		31 above with the same force and effect as if herein set forth.
20	33.	At all times relevant herein, the conduct of all Defendants were subject to 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983,
21		1985, 1986 and 1989.
22	34.	Acting under the color of law, Defendants worked a denial of Mr.Ogumoro's rights, privileges
23		or immunities as secured by the United States Constitution or by Federal law, to wit:
24		a. by depriving Mr. Ogumoro of his liberty without due process of law, by taking him into
		custody and holding him there against his will.
		6 of 20

23

24 43.

42.

condition.

1 b. by making an unreasonable search and seizure of his property without due process of law. by conspiring for the purpose of impeding and hindering the due course of justice, with 2 c. intent to deny Mr. Ogumoro equal protection of laws. 3 4 d. by refusing or neglecting to prevent such deprivations and denials to Mr. Ogumoro, 5 thereby depriving him of his rights, privileges and immunities as guaranteed by Fourth, 6 Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States. 7 Defendant Leon Guerrero relied on information from as early as 1998 and the familial relationship l35. 8 of Mr. Ogumoro to Ambrosio as probable cause for the unlawful search of Mr. Ogumoro's home 9 and eventual arrest. 10 36. As a result, on Saturday, February 11, 2006, Mr. Ogumoro was arrested at his home in front of 11 his wife and children. 12 | 37. At no time during his arrest did Mr. Ogumoro try to resist arrest or disrespect any of the officers 13 present at his home during his arrest. 38. Mr. Ogumoro, was then brought to the Department of Corrections where he was strip searched, 14 15 processed and incarcerated. 16 39. On Monday, February 13, 2006, Mr. Ogumoro was brought to the CNMI Superior Court and paraded in front of his wife and children as well as numerous family members, friends, 17 18 community members and the media in handcuffs, in custody and wearing an orange jumpsuit. 19 40. During the February 13, 2006 bail hearing, the court required Mr. Ogumoro's property, posted 20 in lieu of cash bail, be appraised to ensure it was worth equal to or more than his bail. 21 41. As such, Mr. Ogumoro was remanded back to the Department of Corrections.

On February 14, 2006, upon meeting all of the court's pretrial release conditions, Mr. Ogumoro

Mr. Ogumoro had to appear in court several more times, as required by the court's pretrial release

was released from the Department of Corrections.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 1 44. Ultimately, the case against Mr. Ogumoro was dismissed.
 - 45. As a result of their concerted unlawful and malicious arrest by Defendant Leon Guerrero and several unnamed and undetermined Defendants, Mr. Ogumoro was deprived of both his liberty without due process of law and his right to equal protection of the laws, and the due course of justice was impeded, in violation of the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments of the Constitution of the United States and 42 U.S.C. §1983.
 - 46. WHEREFORE, Mr. Ogumoro demands judgment for the false arrest against all the Defendants jointly and severally, for actual, general, special, compensatory damages in an amount to be proven at trial and further demands judgment against each of said Defendants jointly and severally for punitive damages in an amount to be proven at trial, plus the cost of this action, including attorney's fees and such other relief to be just and equitable.

COUNT 2

VIOLATIONS OF 42 U.S.C. §1983: DETENTION AND CONFINEMENT

- 47. Mr. Ogumoro repeats and realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 46above with the same force and effect as if herein set forth.
- 16 48. As a result of their concerted unlawful and malicious detention and confinement of Mr. Ogumoro, Defendants deprived Mr. Ogumoro of both his rights and liberty without due process of law and his right to equal protection of the laws, and the due course of justice was impeded, in violation of the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments of the Constitution of the United States and 42 U.S.C. §1983.
 - 49. WHEREFORE, Mr. Ogumoro demands judgment for the false detention and confinement against all the Defendants jointly and severally, for actual, general, special, compensatory damages in an amount to be proven at trial and further demands judgment against each of said Defendants jointly and severally, for punitive damages in an amount to be proven at trial, plus the costs of this action,

including attorney's fees, and such other relief deemed to be just and equitable.

2

COUNT 3

3

4

50.

51.

VIOLATIONS OF U.S.C. 1983: STRIP SEARCH

5

1 through 49above with the same force and effect as if herein set forth.

6 7 As a result of their concerted unlawful and malicious arrest, detention, and confinement of Mr. Ogumoro, Defendants caused Mr. Ogumoro to be subjected in the Department of Corrections to

Mr. Ogumoro repeats and realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs

8

a strip search of his body, in a situation where there was no reason to believe that weapons and

9

contraband had been concealed on or in his body, and thus deprived Mr. Ogumoro of both his

10

rights and liberty without due process of law and his right to equal protections of the laws, and

11

the due course of justice was impeded, in violations of the Fourth, Fifth, Ninth, and Fourteenth

12

Amendments of the Constitutions of the United States and 42 U.S.C. §1983.

1314

52.

Defendants jointly and severally, for actual, general, special, compensatory damages in an amount

WHEREFORE, Mr. Ogumoro demands judgment for the bodily strip search against all the

15

to be proven at trial and further demands judgment against each of said Defendants jointly and

16

severally, for punitive damages in an amount to be proven at trial, plus costs of this action,

17

including attorney's fees and such other relief deemed to be just and equitable.

18

COUNT 4

19

VIOLATION OF 42 U.S.C. §1983: CONSPIRACY

20

53. Mr. Ogumoro repeats and realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs

21

1 through 52 above with the same force and effect as if herein set forth.

22

54.

As a result of their concerted unlawful and malicious conspiracy of all Defendants, Mr. Ogumoro

23

was deprived of both his liberty without due process of law and his right to equal protection of the laws, and the due course of justice was impeded, in violation of the Fifth and Fourteenth

24

9

1011

1213

15

16

14

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

proven at trial and further demands judgment against each of said Defendants jointly and severally for punitive damages in an amount to be proven at trial, plus the costs of this action, including

attorney's fees and such other relief deemed to be just and equitable.

COUNT 5

Amendments of the Constitution of the United States and 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983 and 1985.

WHEREFORE, Mr. Ogumoro demands judgment of the conspiracy against all the Defendants

jointly and severally, for actual, general, special, compensatory damages in an amount to be

VIOLATIONS OF 42 U.S.C. §1983: REFUSING OR NEGLECTING TO PREVENT

- 55. Mr. Ogumoro repeats and realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs

 1 through 54 above with the same force and effect as if herein set forth.
- 56. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendants as police officers of DPS were acting under the direction and control of Defendants Wabol, Manalili, Guerrero and John Does.
- 57. Acting under color of law and pursuant to official policy or custom, Defendants Wabol, Manalili, Guerrero and John Does knowingly, recklessly, or with gross negligence failed to instruct, supervise, control, and discipline on a continuing basis Defendant police officers in their duties to refrain from:
 - a. unlawfully and maliciously harassing a citizen who was acting in accordance with his constitutional and statutory rights, privileges, and immunities;
 - b. unlawfully and maliciously arresting, imprisoning and prosecuting a citizen who was acting in accordance with his constitutional and statutory rights, privileges, and immunities;
 - c. conspiring to violate the rights, privileges, and immunities guaranteed to Mr. Ogumoro by the Constitution and laws of the United States and the laws of the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands; and

24

1

2

- otherwise depriving Mr. Ogumoro of his constitutional and statutory rights, privileges, d. and immunities.
- Defendants Wabol, Manalili, Guerrero and John Does had knowledge or, had they diligently **|**|58. exercised their duties to instruct, supervise, control, and discipline on a continuing basis, should have had knowledge that the wrongs conspired to be done, as heretofore alleged, were about to be committed.
- 59. Defendants Wabol, Manalili, Guerrero and John Does had the power to prevent or aid in preventing the commission of said wrongs, could have done so by reasonable diligence, and knowingly, recklessly, or with gross negligence failed or refused to do so.
- Defendants Wabol, Manalili, Guerrero and John Does directly or indirectly, under color of law, 10 60. approved or ratified the unlawful, deliberate, malicious, reckless, and wanton conduct of Defendant police officers heretofore described.
 - 61. As a direct and proximate cause of the negligent and intentional acts of Defendants Wabol, Manalili, Guerrero and John Does as set forth in above mentioned paragraphs, Mr. Ogumoro suffered physical injury, loss of income, and severe mental anguish in connection with the deprivation of his constitutional and statutory rights guaranteed by the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments of the Constitution of the United States and protected by 42 U.S.C. §1983.

WHEREFORE, Mr. Ogumoro demands judgment against all the Defendants jointly and severally, for actual, general, special, compensatory damages in an amount to be proven at trial and further demands judgment against each of said Defendants, jointly and severally, for punitive damages in an amount to be proven at trial, plus the costs of this action, including attorney's fees, and such other relief deemed to be just and equitable.

COUNT 6

MALICIOUS PROSECUTION

62. 1 Mr. Ogumoro repeats and realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 2 1 through 61 above with the same force and effect as if herein set forth. 3 63. Defendants instituted criminal process against the plaintiff with malice: 4 Defendants Wabol, Manalili, Guerrero, Leon Guerrero, Seman and John Does played an a. 5 active part in the initiation of the criminal proceedings. 6 b. Defendant Leon Guerrero played an active part in the initiation of the criminal 7 proceedings against Mr. Ogumoro by failing to conduct follow up investigation on 8 information provided to him from 1998 and 2000. 9 64. The charges against Mr. Ogumoro were not based upon probable cause, that is the state of the 10 facts in the mind of the prosecutor would not lead a man of ordinary caution and prudence to 11 believe, or entertain an honest or strong suspicion that Mr. Ogumoro was guilty. 12 65. Defendants Wabol, Manalili, Guerrero, and John Does had a duty to ascertain whether there was 13 reasonable and probable cause for a prosecution, to wit, knowing that Mr. Ogumoro was a Captain 14 at DPS and therefore had supervisory duties, a complete check of the Armory records would have 15 revealed the serial numbers of the firearms and ammunition signed out to Mr. Ogumoro were the 16 same firearms seized during the search of Mr. Ogumoro's home. 17 66. Defendants Wabol, Manalili, Guerrero and John Does breached their duty. 18 67. The criminal proceeding terminated in favor of the Mr. Ogumoro when the assistant Attorney 19 General filed, and the court granted dismissal of all charges against Mr. Ogumoro. 20 **68**. Defendants Wabol, Manalili, Guerrero and John Does are liable under the doctrine of respondeat 21 superior. 22 WHEREFORE, Mr. Ogumoro demands judgment against all Defendants for actual, special, 23 compensatory damages in an amount deemed at time of trial to be just, fair, and appropriate. 24

2 3

4

5

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

COUNT 7

MALICIOUS ABUSE OF PROCESS

- 69. Mr. Ogumoro repeats and realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 68 above with the same force and effect as if herein set forth.
- 6 70. Defendants maliciously used a legal process to accomplish some ulterior purpose for which it was 7 not designed or intended, or which was not the legitimate purpose of the particular process 8 employed.
- 9 71. Defendants Wabol, Manalili, Guerrero and John Does knew or should have known Mr. Ogumoro, 10 pursuant to his supervisory role, was issued firearms and ammunition in his role as Boating Safety 11 Commander.
- 12 72. Defendants Wabol, Manalili, Guerrero and John Does failed to properly supervise Defendant 13 Leon Guerrero to verify at the DPS Armory whether in fact Mr. Ogumoro was ever issued 14 firearms and ammunition.
 - **1**73. Defendants Wabol, Manalili, Guerrero and John Does failed to properly supervise Defendant Leon Guerrero to conduct follow up investigation of information used in the Affidavit for probable cause for Mr. Ogumoro's search of his home and ultimate arrest.
 - 74. Defendants Wabol, Manalili, Guerrero and John Does knew or should have known the Affidavit and Information was groundless and they sought to use the process for an ulterior purpose, including, but not limited to, the purpose of aiding Leon Guerrero in furthering their attempt to discredit and destroy Mr. Ogumoro's reputation by searching his home and ultimately arresting him.
 - 75. Defendants Wabol, Manalili, Guerrero and John Does are liable under the doctrine of respondeat superior.

WHEREFORE, Mr. Ogumoro demands judgment against all Defendants for actual, special, compensatory damages, in an amount deemed at time of trial to be just, fair, and appropriate.

COUNT 8

VIOLATION OF CIVIL RIGHTS UNDER CNMI CONSTITUTION

- 76. Mr. Ogumoro repeats and realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs

 1 through 75 above with the same force and effect as if herein set forth.
- 77. At all times relevant herein, the conduct of all Defendants were subject to the Civil Rights under the CNMI Constitution.
- 78. Defendants interfered with or attempted to interfere by threats, intimidation, or coercion with Mr. Ogumoro's exercise and enjoyment of his rights -- e.g., his rights to his liberty, his right to individual privacy, and his right to due process -- secured by the state and federal constitutions or laws of the United States and/or the CNMI.
- 79. Thus, under color of state, Mr. Ogumoro's rights guaranteed by the Constitution of the CNMI, including but not limited to his rights under Article I, Section 5 to due process of law, equal protection under Article I, Section 6 and his right to individual privacy under Article I, Section 10.
- 80. As a direct and proximate result of the conduct of the Defendants, Mr. Ogumoro was intimidated and put in continuing anxiety and has suffered damages including but not limited to the aforesaid damages.
 - WHEREFORE, Mr. Ogumoro demands judgment against Defendants for actual, special, compensatory and punitive damages, and attorney's fees and costs of the litigation in an amount deemed at time of trial to be just, fair, and appropriate.

1 2 COUNT 9 FALSE ARREST AND IMPRISONMENT 3 Mr. Ogumoro repeats and realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 4 81. 1 through 80 above with the same force and effect as if herein set forth. 5 6 82. At all times relevant herein, (a) the Defendants acted with the intention of confining Mr. 7 Ogumoro within fixed boundaries, (b) the act directly or indirectly resulted in confinement, and 8 (c) Mr. Ogumoro was conscious of the confinement. 83. Defendants Wabol, Manalili, Guerrero, Leon Guerrero, Seman and John Does, under the color 10 of state law, imposed by force or threats an unlawful restraint upon Mr. Ogumoro's freedom of 11 movement, to wit by arresting and handcuffing his hands behind his back, transporting him to the 12 Department of Corrections where he was detained in a cell. 13 84. Then, under the color of state law, Defendants Wabol, Manalili, Guerrero, Leon Guerrero, Seman 14 and John Does caused the unlawful imprisonment and consequential strip-search of Mr. Ogumoro 15 in the Department of Corrections. 16 85. As a direct and proximate result of the conduct of the Defendants, Mr. Ogumoro suffered harm 17 and damages including but not limited to the aforesaid damages. 18 Defendants Wabol, Manalili, Guerrero, and John Does are liable under the doctrine of respondeat 86. 19 superior. 20 WHEREFORE, Mr. Ogumoro demands judgment against all Defendants for actual, special, 21 compensatory damages, attorneys' fees and costs of the litigation in an amount deemed at time of 22 trial to be just, fair, and appropriate. 23 COUNT 10 24 **BATTERY**

1	87.	Mr. Ogumoro repeats and realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs
2		1 through 86 above with the same force and effect as if herein set forth.
3	88.	Without the consent of Mr. Ogumoro, Defendants John Doe intentionally, harmfully, and
4		offensively touched Smith by handcuffing him.
5	89.	Without the consent of Mr. Ogumoro, Defendants John Doe indirectly caused Defendants John
6		Doe to intentionally, harmfully, and offensively touched Mr. Ogumoro when strip-searching at
7		the Department of Corrections.
8	90.	Defendants Defendants Wabol, Manalili, Guerrero, John Does are liable under the doctrine of
9		respondeat superior.
10		WHEREFORE, Mr. Ogumoro demands judgment against Defendants for actual, special, and
11		compensatory damages in an amount deemed at time of trial to be just, fair, and appropriate.
12		
		COUNT 11
13		COUNT 11 CONSPIRACY
14	91.	
14 15	91.	CONSPIRACY
14 15 16	91. 92.	CONSPIRACY Mr. Ogumoro repeats and realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs
14 15 16 17		CONSPIRACY Mr. Ogumoro repeats and realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 90 above with the same force and effect as if herein set forth.
14 15 16 17 18		CONSPIRACY Mr. Ogumoro repeats and realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 90 above with the same force and effect as if herein set forth. All the Defendants (a) had an object to be accomplished; (b) had an agreement on the object or
14 15 16 17 18 19		CONSPIRACY Mr. Ogumoro repeats and realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 90 above with the same force and effect as if herein set forth. All the Defendants (a) had an object to be accomplished; (b) had an agreement on the object or course of action; (c) performed one or more unlawful overt acts; and (d) caused Mr. Ogumoro
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	92.	CONSPIRACY Mr. Ogumoro repeats and realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 90 above with the same force and effect as if herein set forth. All the Defendants (a) had an object to be accomplished; (b) had an agreement on the object or course of action; (c) performed one or more unlawful overt acts; and (d) caused Mr. Ogumoro damages that were a direct result of those acts.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	92.	CONSPIRACY Mr. Ogumoro repeats and realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 90 above with the same force and effect as if herein set forth. All the Defendants (a) had an object to be accomplished; (b) had an agreement on the object or course of action; (c) performed one or more unlawful overt acts; and (d) caused Mr. Ogumoro damages that were a direct result of those acts. In furtherance of their object, defendants did two or more overt acts against the plaintiffs. Those
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	92.	CONSPIRACY Mr. Ogumoro repeats and realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 90 above with the same force and effect as if herein set forth. All the Defendants (a) had an object to be accomplished; (b) had an agreement on the object or course of action; (c) performed one or more unlawful overt acts; and (d) caused Mr. Ogumoro damages that were a direct result of those acts. In furtherance of their object, defendants did two or more overt acts against the plaintiffs. Those unlawful overt acts include, but are not limited to, the following:
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	92.	CONSPIRACY Mr. Ogumoro repeats and realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 90 above with the same force and effect as if herein set forth. All the Defendants (a) had an object to be accomplished; (b) had an agreement on the object or course of action; (c) performed one or more unlawful overt acts; and (d) caused Mr. Ogumoro damages that were a direct result of those acts. In furtherance of their object, defendants did two or more overt acts against the plaintiffs. Those unlawful overt acts include, but are not limited to, the following: a. Defendants Wabol, Manalili, Guerrero, Leon Guerrero, Seman and John Does strategized

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Mr. Leon Guerrero relied on information provided to him based on actions from 1998 and b. 2000 as justification for probable cause to search Mr. Ogumoro's home and ultimately to his arrest.
- Defendants Wabol, Manalili, Guerrero, Seman and John Does knew or should have c. known that Defendant Leon Guerrero had intentionally used information over six (6) years old and failed to conduct follow up investigation as necessary to establish probable cause for the charges against Mr. Ogumoro.
- The Defendants agreed that the object or course of action was to arrest, detain, and confine Mr. Ogumoro without probable cause, and maliciously charge and prosecute him with crimes.
- Defendants Wabol, Manalili, Guerrero and John Does are liable under the doctrine of respondeat superior.
- Mr. Ogumoro suffered harm and damages that are a direct result of those acts.

WHEREFORE, Mr. Ogumoro demands judgment against all Defendants for actual, special, compensatory and punitive damages, attorney's fees, costs, expenses, and interest in an amount deemed at time of trial to be just, fair, and appropriate.

COUNT 12

INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

- Mr. Ogumoro repeats and realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 97. 1 through 96 above with the same force and effect as if herein set forth.
- 98. Defendants intentionally and deliberately inflicted emotional distress on Mr. Ogumoro by maliciously prosecuting Mr. Ogumoro, or by abusing the lawful process by unlawful purpose, or by violating Mr. Ogumoro's constitutional rights, or by falsely arresting and imprisoning Mr. Ogumoro, by conspiring against Mr. Ogumoro, or by interfering with Mr. Ogumoro's state civil

106.

24

Mr. Ogumoro repeats and realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs

1 through 105 above with the same force and effect as if herein set forth.

	:	
1	107.	Defendants continually negligently inflicted emotional distress on Mr. Ogumoro.
2	108.	Defendants had a continuing affirmative duty to perform their professional services in such a
3		manner as not to inflict emotional distress on Mr. Ogumoro.
4	109.	Defendants breached their duties to Mr. Ogumoro.
5	110.	Mr. Ogumoro never interfered with the Defendants' obligations under the above-described duties.
6	111.	Mr. Ogumoro suffered mentally as a consequence of the abovementioned Defendants' breach of
7		their duty.
8	112.	Mr. Ogumoro was, is, and, with a high degree of likelihood, will continue to be inflicted with
9		emotional distress due to the negligence of Defendants.
0	113.	Defendants Wabol, Manalili, Guerrero and John Does are also liable under the doctrine of
1		respondeat superior.
2	114.	As a result of the Defendants' negligent conduct, Mr. Ogumoro has suffered and will continue to
3		suffer pain, anguish, severe emotional trauma, embarrassment, and humiliation.
4		WHEREFORE, Mr. Ogumoro demands judgment, including interest, jointly and severally against
15		all Defendants in an amount deemed by this Court to be just and fair and in any other way in
16		which the Court deems appropriate.
7		V.
8		PRAYER FOR RELIEF
9		WHEREFORE, Mr. Ogumoro prays for relief as follows:
20		a. For general, consequential, statutory, special and compensatory damages and costs.
21		b. For punitive damages in an amount to be proven at trial.
22		c. For prejudgment interest as allowed by law.
23		d. For attorneys fees and costs of suit.
24		e. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem appropriate.

VI.

JURY DEMAND

Mr. Ogumoro demands a jury trial on each claim for relief.

4 Respectfully submitted this 10th day of February, 2008.

Attorney for Plaintiff Aniceto T. Ogumoro